

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,950	07/21/2003	Jason Brown	C02-0109-000	4621
	7590 05/31/200 /IRELESS LLC	EXAMINER		
	OGE CONN:, #1725A	ACED	TERMANII	NI, SAMIR
ATLANTA, GA	LES, PATENT MANAGE A 30342	NGER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/623,950	BROWN, JASON
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .
	Samir Termanini	2178
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers	,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/12/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

BACKGROUND

- 1. This FINAL Office Action is responsive to the following communications: Amendment filed on 3/12/2007.
- Claims 21-38 are pending in this case. Applicant has canceled claims
 1-20. Claims 21, 27, and 33 are in independent form.
- 3. Applicant canceled claims 1-20 and have thereby rendered moot the anticipation rejections cited by the Examiner in the previous Office Action (dated 12/12/2006) under 35 USC §102(b). Accordingly, the Rejection is withdrawn in view thereof.
- 4. Applicant canceled claims 11-18 and have thereby rendered most the rejections cited by the Examiner in the previous Office Action (dated 12/12/2006) under 35 USC §101. Accordingly, the Rejection is withdrawn in view of thereof.
- 5. Applicant canceled claims 19 and have thereby rendered most the rejections cited by the Examiner in the previous Office Action (dated 12/12/2006) under 35 USC §112. Accordingly, the Rejection is withdrawn in view thereof.

Art Unit: 2178

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. §102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 21-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+) (GSM); Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); USIM Application Toolkit (USAT); (3GPP TS 31.111 version 4.4.0 Release 4), European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2001 (hereinafter "ETSUSIM").

As to independent claim 21, ETSUSIM teaches a timed text display method, comprising the steps ("DISPLAY TEXT: which displays text or an icon on screen." pp. 21, §6.1) of: controlling a display of a communications device ("DISPLAY TEXT: which displays text or an icon on screen." pp. 21, §6.1) using a Subscriber Identity Module application ("specifically for "USIM Application Toolkit" pp. 10, section 1; Also, USIM means "Universal Subscriber Identity Module" pp. 13, 2nd to last definition); and specifying a duration ("duration of the display" pp. 24, §6.4.1) in the SIM application for which text is displayed prior to permitting a user to clear said text ("variable display timeout...informs the ME about the required duration of the

Art Unit: 2178

display" pp. 24, bottom of page; see also "indicates that the ME shall wait for the user to clear message" pp. 24, bottom of page) (emphasis added).

As to dependent claims 22, ETSUSIM further teaches the step of specifying the duration data object value ("A duration object that represents the variable display timeout" pp. 24, §6.4.1; also note, e.g. "interface data object" pp. 63, before §6.7) for which the DISPLAY TEXT command in the SIM application, the attribute specifying the duration for which the text is displayed ("duration for execution of the command" pp. 52, §6.6.1; see also figure 6.6.1, an annotated version is reproduced immediately below; Note: "the SIM/ME interface is the bearer level." pp. 63, before §6.7).

6	6.1 DISPLAY TEXT	.			
0.	O.I DISPLATIENT				
	Description	Clause	M/O/C	Min	Length
Attribute specifying duration	Proactive UICC command Tag	9.2	M .	' Y	1
	Length (A+B+C+D+E+F)	-	М	Y	1 or 2
	Command details	8.6	М	Y	A
	Device identities	8.7	М	Y	В
	Text string	8.15	M	Y	С
	Icon identifier	8.31	0	N	D
	Immediate response	8.43	0	N	E
	Duration	8.8	0	N	F

As to dependent claim 23, ETSUSIM further teaches the method of claim 21, further comprising: displaying text on said communications device ("DISPLAY TEXT: which displays text or an icon on screen." pp. 21, §6.1); receiving a command to clear said text ("duration for execution of the command" pp. 52, §6.6.1, where it "indicates that the ME shall wait for the user to clear message" pp. 24, bottom of

Art Unit: 2178

page).; if said specified duration has elapsed ("display timeout" pp. 24, §6.4.1), then executing said command (e.g. "the expiration of the variable display timeout, if so indicated by the duration object" pp. 25, §6.4.1)

As to dependent claim 24, ETSUSIM further teaches that the "duration" data object is a parameter in the DISPLAY TEXT command ("A duration object that represents the variable display timeout" pp. 24, §6.4.1).

As to dependent claim 25, ETSUSIM further teaches the step of specifying the duration comprises specifying a time for which the text is displayed before clearing the text ("variable <u>display timeout...informs</u> the ME about the <u>required</u> <u>duration of the display" pp. 24, bottom of page)(emphasis added).</u>

As to dependent claim 26, ETSUSIM further teaches the method of claim 23, wherein the step of displaying text comprises displaying letters ("DISPLAY TEXT: which displays text or an icon on screen." pp. 21, §6.1).

As to claims 27-32, these claims differ from claims 21-26, respectively, only in that they are directed to products defined by the processes of claims 21-26, respectively. Accordingly, claims 27-32 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the treatment of claims 21-26, respectively.

As to claims 33-38, these claims differ from claims 21-26, respectively, only in that they are directed an apparatus to carry out the processes of claims 21-26, respectively. *ETSUSIM* further teaches use in an apparatus for displaying timed

Art Unit: 2178

text ("Mobile Equipment" pp. 139, Annex A) including a Subscriber Identity Module ("card defined in GSM 02.17" pp. 146, Annex G: Multiple Card Operation) having a display device for presenting text to a user of the apparatus ("screen", pp. 21, §6.1) and a microprocessor for controlling the circuitry and the display device ("execute" and "processing", pp. 12, §3.1). Accordingly, claims 33-38 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the treatment of claims 21-26, respectively.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

- 8. Arguments concerning the Examiner's rejections of claims 1–20, made under 35 U.S.C. §102 in the previous Office Action (dated 12/12/2006) have been rendered moot by Applicant's Amendment filed on 3/12/2007. Accordingly, the Rejection is withdrawn in view thereof.
- 9. Arguments concerning the Examiner's rejections of claim 19, made under 35 U.S.C. §11 in the previous Office Action (dated 12/12/2006) has been rendered moot by Applicant's Amendment filed on 3/12/2007. Accordingly, the Rejection is withdrawn in view thereof.
- 10. Applicant arguments, see p. 6, filed 3/12/2007, with respect to the matter alleged to be not disclosed or taught by *ETSUSIM* have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant's argue that ETSUSIM fails to teach or suggest at least the limitation of specifying a duration in a SIM application for which text is to be

Art Unit: 2178

displayed prior to permitting a user to clear said text and that it instead discloses displaying a message for a specified duration.

The examiner disagrees and submits that *ETSUSIM*, inter alia, shows the limitation as follows: *text is to be displayed* via a "DISPLAY TEXT: which displays text or an icon on screen." (pp. 21, §6.1) for at least the "duration for execution of the command" (pp. 52, §6.6.1) *prior to permitting a user to clear said text* via a "required duration of the display" (pp. 24, bottom of page) before "...wait[ing] for the user to clear message..." (pp. 24, bottom of page).

CONCLUSION

11. All prior art made of record in this Office Action or as cited on form PTO-892 notwithstanding being relied upon, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Therefore, Applicant is required under 37 CFR §1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this Office Action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

Art Unit: 2178

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Samir Termanini at telephone number is (571) 270-1047. The Examiner can normally be reached from 9 A.M. to 6 P.M., Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

Art Unit: 2178

Page 9

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEPHEN HONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Samir Termanini Patent Examiner Art Unit 2178